(2) Stplx
MCa
11a

CAUSE NO. 2010-34655

In re PARKER DRILLING COMPANY DERIVATIVE LITIGATION	§	**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF**
	§	
	§	
V.	§	**HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS**
	§	
This Document Relates To:	§	
ALL ACTIONS	§	**61ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

FILED
Chris Daniel
District Clerk
JUL 23 2012
Time:
Harris County, Texas
By
Deputy

Unofficial Copy Office of Chris Daniel District Clerk

ORDER

Before the Court is Nominal Defendant Parker Drilling Company's ("Parker Drilling") motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Verified Consolidated Shareholder Derivative Petition (the "Amended Petition") and enforce the Court's prior order sustaining special exceptions. Defendant Parker Drilling Company's motion is joined by Defendants Robert L. Parker, Sr., Robert L. Parker, Jr., John W. Gibson, Jr., Robert E. McKee, III, Roger B. Plank, R. Rudolph Reinfrank, George J. Donnelly, Robert W. Goldman, Gary R. King, and David C. Mannon. Along with the previous rulings made by this Court requiring the Plaintiff to replead as well as the special exceptions granted, the Court also considered:

- Nominal Defendant Parker Drilling Company's Motion to Dismiss;
- Robert L. Parker, Sr. and Robert L. Parker, Jr.'s Motions to Dismiss and Enforce the Court's Prior Order Sustaining Special Exceptions and Supporting Brief;
- Nominal Defendant Parker Drilling Company's Brief in Support of its Motion to Dismiss;
- Joinder in Motion to Dismiss and Brief in Support by Defendants Gibson, McKee, Plank, and Reinfrank;
- Defendant's George J. Donnelly, Robert W. Goldman, and Gary R. King's Motion to Dimiss and to Enforce Prior Order Sustaining Special Exceptions and Joinder of Nominal Defendant Parker Drilling Company's Related Motion to Dismiss and Briefing;
- Defendant David C. Mannon's Joinder of Nominal Defendant Parker Drilling Company's Motion to Dismiss;
- Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss;

- Defendants George J. Donnelly, Robert W. Goldman, and Gary R. King's Reply in Support of Their Motion to Dismiss and to Enforce Prior Order Sustaining Special Exceptions;
- Robert L. Parker, Sr. and Robert L. Parker, Jr.'s Joinder Reply in Support of its Motion to Dismiss;
- Reply of Defendants Gibson, McKee, Plank, and Reinfrank in Support of Motion to Dismiss;
- Nominal Defendant Parker Drilling Company's Reply in Support of its Motion to Dismiss;
- Defendant David C. Mannon's Reply in Support of his Motion to Dismiss; and
- Letter Brief on behalf of Defendant David C. Mannon;

along with arguments of counsel and is of the opinion that Parker Drilling's Motion to Dismiss should be GRANTED.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiffs lawsuit, purportedly brought on behalf of Parker Drilling, is hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Amended Petition is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

SIGNED this ____ day of JUL 23 2012, 2012

JUL 23 2012

Judge Al Bennett
Presiding Judge

Unofficial Copy Office of Chris Daniel District Clerk