Miller Chevalier

Federal Circuit Reverses Trial Court in Coltec v. United States

Tax Controversy Alert

07.12.2006

Today, the Federal Circuit reversed and remanded the decision of the Court of Federal Claims in *Coltec Industries, Inc. v. United States* (see the Federal Circuit's opinion). Taxpayers and the government both had awaited the decision, in light of lower court's suggestion that the economic substance doctrine had no application -- at least under Federal Circuit precedent. See *Coltec Industries v. United States*, 62 Fed. Cl. 716 (2004).

The government was concerned about the nationwide effects if the Federal Circuit upheld the lower court decision because taxpayers throughout the country may bring their tax refund cases in the Court of Federal Claims, with appeal to the Federal Circuit. Indeed, the government persuaded the Court of Federal Claims in another important case, Jade Trading (which also involves economic substance issues), to stay its proceedings pending the Federal Circuit's decision in *Coltec*. See *Jade Trading*, *LLC et al. v. United States*; No. 03-2164T (April 27, 2006).

In today's opinion, Judge Dyk of the Federal Circuit reversed the lower court. The Federal Circuit highlighted the 70-year history of the doctrine and reminded the lower court on the need to follow Supreme Court, Federal Circuit, and Court of Claims precedent. The court justified the economic substance doctrine as a means to "prevent taxpayers from subverting the legislative purpose of the tax code by engaging in transactions that are fictitious or lack economic reality simply to reap a tax benefit." Slip Op. at 21. "In this regard, the economic substance doctrine is not unlike other canons of construction that are employed in circumstances where the literal terms of a statute can undermine the ultimate purpose of the statute." Id.

Will *Coltec* appeal to the Supreme Court? Too early to tell. But absent a successful appeal, the Federal Circuit opinion will impact future economic substance cases brought before the Court of Federal Claims and the Federal Circuit. We also expect the government to argue that its victory in this case has broad applicability to other cases involving the economic substance doctrine.

For further information, please contact any of the following lawyers:

Laura Ferguson, Iferguson@milchev.com, 202-626-5567

David Blair

The information contained in this communication is not intended as legal advice or as an opinion on specific facts. This information is not intended to create, and receipt of it does not constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. For more information, please contact one of the senders or your existing Miller & Chevalier lawyer contact. The invitation to contact the firm and its lawyers is not to be construed as a solicitation for legal work. Any new lawyer-client relationship will be confirmed in writing.

This, and related communications, are protected by copyright laws and treaties. You may make a single copy for personal use. You may make copies for others, but not for commercial purposes. If you give a copy to anyone else, it must be in its original, unmodified form, and must include all attributions of authorship, copyright notices, and republication notices. Except as described above, it is unlawful to copy, republish, redistribute, and/or alter this presentation without prior written consent of the copyright holder.